

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,293	12/07/2004	Anders Larsson	PU0246	4704	
	7590 12/13/200 CARE BIO-SCIENCES	12/13/2007 EXAMINER			
PATENT DEPARTMENT			ZEMEL, IRINA SOPJIA		
800 CENTENN PISCATAWAY	NAL AVENUE 7, NJ 08855		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/517,293	LARSSON ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Irina S. Zemel	1796		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 September 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-29 is/are pending in the adday Of the above claim(s) 18-28 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 and 29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment		 .			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

10/517,293 Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The base claim 1 recites limitation of "each branched polyhydroxy-functional polymer has been tethered to the base matrix at two or more points". This limitation is not clear. It is not clear whether the limitation "tethered" means that each branched polyhydroxy-functional polymer has been actually attached to the matrix by forming at least two covalent bond links or attachment with the matrix, or it simply adhesively attached to the matrix at more than two points and only forms one covalent bond.

The phrase "wherein the branched polyhydroxy-functional polymer having a degree of branching" is awkward. Applicants are advised to revise the claim language.

In claim 8, the clause "polyhydroxy-functional *monomer*" lacks expressed antecedent basis.

Claim 29 recites a "use" of a matrix, which is not a statutory class of inventions.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 9-20-2007, with respect to rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The respective rejection of claim has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1796

Applicant's arguments with respect to indefiniteness rejection have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-16 and 29 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Assuming that the limitation "tethered to the base matrix" is amended to recite the branched polymers being <u>attached covalently</u> to the base matrix, no prior art of record teaches or fairly suggest surface modified polymer matrix of porous material which matrix material is modified via attaching a poly-hydroxyl functional branched polymers that forms covalent bond with the matrix via two or more such covalent bonds.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irina S. Zemel whose telephone number is (571)272-0577. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571)272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

10/517,293 Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Irina S. Zemel Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1796

ISZ